



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,732	05/30/2001	Paul Joseph Stewart	200-1452 DBK 3348	
33481 75	90 09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
DANIEL H. BLISS 2075 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD			STEVENS, THOMAS H	
SUITE 600	O DEN VER ROND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 48	3084		2123	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>X</u>						
1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/681,732	STEWART ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in coe with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da ).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ie appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 4,5,8-15,18-20.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All Comments de la lace de Citaria de Maria					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(\$)/	0			

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125



13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments were non-persuasive in light of the prior art. Furthermore, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Note: typographical error found in the final office action: Stewart (U.S. Patent 5,903,358) is (U.S. Patent 5,903,458).